

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,885	09/09/2003	Michael L. Dobson	DOBS/0001 2624		
24945 75	90 07/19/2005		EXAMINER		
STREETS & S		HAWKINS, CHERYL N			
13831 NORTH	WEST FREEWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77040			1734		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/657,885	DOBSON, MICHAEL L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cheryl N. Hawkins	1734		

	Cheryl N. Hawkins	i	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover s	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CON	DITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with se with 37 CFR 1.11	amendment, af appeal fee) in 4. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) ater than SIX MONTH	the date set forth S from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition of the correst that the correst that the correst than three months af	under 37 CFR 1. ponding amount riod for reply orig	136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 Cl	FR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belomore) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or s w); ter form for appeal	earch (see NO	TE below);	•
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a one NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 and the second	16 and 41.33(a)).			PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		31100 01 11011-00	mphant Amendment (1 10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 1-31. Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ed, or b) 🗍 wi ended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons	why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejection	ns under appe r presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the	e claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place th	ne application in	n condition for allowan	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>A summary of the interview held on 6/20/05 has b</u>		-1449) Paper N		
			Cheryl N. Hawkins July 15, 2005	auk

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Application No. 10/657,885

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding proposed Claim 15, which recites an apparatus that is "incapable of conveying wall board compound", and proposed Claim 29, which recites a method that includes using an appartus having a "tubular" handle and "an elongated link that extends through at least a substantial portion of the handle", those claim limitations were not previously considered and would require further consideration and/or search. In light of the refusal of entry of the proposed amendments, the arguments pertaining to the proposed claims have not been considered.

CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AU 1734